ABORIGINAL ART / Rebecca Hossack tells Isabel Woolf about selecting works for Songlines . . .

he plangent rumblings of a distant didgeridoo gave it away. Lost in the depths of the Barbican Centre and searching for the Australian outback, this lone instrument lured all-comers down under into the Concourse Gallery on Level 5. Standing in the doorway, you couldn't help reflecting that the concrete surfaces, wall-towall brown carpet and strip lighting there seem an unlikely backdrop for paintings which are so much of the open air. But in that strange, tunnel-like space, Rebecca Hossack has gathered together the most comprehensive collection of Aboriginal desert paintings ever seen in this country.

All of the "dot and circle" paintings in this exhibition come out of the huge Western Desert, from the communities at Papunya Tula, Yuendemu, Billiluna and the Balgo Hills. Rebecca Hossack, who has specialised in Aboriginal art for over ten years, believes that the quality of paintings from this isolated region is higher than from anywhere else. "Over the last couple of years Aboriginal art has become rather trendy and there's been quite a lot on view in Britain," she says. "But I felt that much of it was mediocre, commercial work, the sort of thing that could be picked up in tourist shops in Alice Springs. I wanted to show people the best quality paintings which are being done by really great artists in the western communities.

She was, she says, rigorous in her selection process, and certainly there are some remarkable painters represented in the exhibition. Mick Tjapaltjarri, Turkey Tolson and Uta Uta Tjangala are names that are becoming better known among Cork Street dealers, while Clifford Possum and Billy Stockman are, as it were, the Leonardo and Michelangelo of the modern Aboriginal art movement which began in the early 1970s when a teacher, Geoff Bardon, provided Aboriginal people with acrylic paints and brushes and encouraged them to put their ancient "sandpaintings" on to canvas.

Since then these huge, rather chaoticlooking paintings have been seen by some as constituting a new school of Australian abstract art. But despite the preponderance of highly-coloured dots, squiggles, circles and broken lines, abstract is the

Waking up to reality



Billy Stockman, one of the earliest and better known modern Aboriginal painters, at work on the typical dreamtime painting Carpet Snake Dreaming at Papunya in 1987

is that they come out of a cultural tradition which is over 50,000 years old, maybe more. And although they look abstract, even psychedelic, they are *highly* representational. For example the Balgo Hills paintings here deal with very specific

founded the art establishment in western galleries. When the huge "Dreamings" exhibition toured the US in 1988, American art scribes tied themselves in critical knots, unsure whether to evaluate the pictures in visual or anthropological terms.

begin to evaluate the work," she says, ruefully. "As a consequence, once they had covered one exhibition, they would reply to my latest press release 'Oh well, we reviewed your last show so we've already done Aboriginal arts'. By analogy, you

often not knowing what the paintings are worth, but just trying to get what they can. The artists themselves have responded to this uncertainty by setting up cooperatives to fix prices and regulate quality. But there has still been massive over-production and given the conventional career.

abstract art. But despite the preponderance of highly-coloured dots, squiggles, circles and broken lines, abstract is the one thing they are not. They are in fact ideograms, or religious maps. The artists transpose on to canvas the traditional designs created on the ground at sacred ceremonies: mosaics of stones, bark and twigs which are ritually erased by milling feet. They all relate stories of the "Dreamtime" of Aboriginal mythology, when ancient beings roamed the world singing the landscape and everything in it into existence. Today, as the artists sit outside working on the paintings, they sing and chant the songs and stories associated with these myths, in a totally unconscious synthesis of landscape, narrative, nature, art and soul.

"The paintings do look incredibly modern, it's true," says Hossack, "But the fact

able portraits, currently on

show in London, Australian

Through a series of remark-

Aboriginal photographer Michael

Riley has restored dignity to a

downtrodden people. Taking as

his subjects the inhabitants of a

small community, Riley, himself

an Aborigine, has confounded the

TV-documentary view of his peo-

ple as social misfits and alcohol-

ics, and succeeded in bestowing

upon them a level of humanity

which they have consistently been

denied. "The people I photo-

graphed are from a small town-

ship," he explains. "What I was

trying to do was take a cross-sec-

tion of Aboriginal people and

show who and what they are. I

wanted to show the dignity and

pride of the Aborigine. There is no

Although that may not be Ril-

ev's intention, the pictures do

have an implicitly subversive con-

tent. The combination of studio

set with the apparent ease of the

sitter creates an ambience curi-

ously reminiscent of eighteenth-

political message."

even psychedelic, they are highly representational. For example the Balgo Hills paintings here deal with very specific things — women finding food and water, caring for their children, looking for witchetty grubs and bush tomatoes. It's not at all abstract, it's pragmatic in the highest degree."

Decoding the paintings is not difficult

Decoding the paintings is not difficult once you know what the component parts symbolise. U-shapes are women sitting, concentric circles are campsites or water holes, squiggly lines are rivers, rain or wind, diagonal arrows are emu tracks. "It's a bit like reading shorthand I suppose," says Hossack. "If you can identify the symbols then it's not hard to work out the 'dreaming' story which is being told."

The blending of the ancient and the new in Aboriginal paintings has made them very sought after, but it has also concan art scribes tied themselves in critical knots, unsure whether to evaluate the pictures in visual or anthropological terms. Since then a consensus seems to have emerged, that they can only really be

to my latest press release 'Oh well, we reviewed your last show so we've already done Aboriginal arts'. By analogy, you wouldn't say if you'd reviewed a Francis Bacon exhibition that you had 'done' Brit-

'Aboriginal art became rather trendy, but much of it was commercial work, the sort of thing that could be picked up in tourist shops in Alice Springs'

viewed with a blend of both. But there's still huge difficulty, says Hossack with the whole area of Aboriginal aesthetics. She feels that people still find it hard to assess dot and circle paintings and this has led, she claims, to an extremely patronising attitude in some quarters.

"I've found an extraordinary inability, especially amongst British critics, even to

ish art. They seem to think that all Aboriginal art is homogenous, but in fact it's highly individual, just as Impressionist paintings are highly individual, although the artists were clearly working within a recognisable school."

The last two years have seen a frenzy of buying, with dealers and investors jumping on the trendy Aboriginal bandwagon,

to fix prices and regulate quality. But there has still been massive over-production and, given the conventional career prospects of Aboriginal people it's not surprising that so many have started to paint. Rebecca Hossack says she's glad that the recession has put paid to the craze, because it's sorted out the wheat from the chaff.

"I think that for the average Aboriginal paintings, the market has gone very flat. But the great painters are really holding their prices at auction, and the resale value of paintings by say Clifford Possum or George Tjangala is now in five or six figures, because painters like these are undisputably great."

□ Concourse Gallery, Barbican Art Centre, Silk St. EC2 (071-638 4141) To 4 Sept.

Mon-Sat 10am-7.30, Sun 12-7.30; free.

... and Iain Gale finds the traditions of 18th Century portraiture perpetuated in the work of the Aboriginal photographer Michael Riley, at the Rebecca Hossack Gallery

The society photographer









Photographs by Michael Riley, left to right: Ken, Lyall and Carmine, Maude Cutmore and Maude Wright (the photographer's grandmother)

century portraiture. This effect is the consequence of the nature of the relationship between photog-The result is that in such works rapher and sitter. Many of Riley's as Riley's portrait of Lyall and sitters are related to him ("I Carmine, we have a disturbing recollection of Gainsborough's wouldn't just go into any commu-Mr and Mrs Andrews, although nity - I think that's a bit rude"). Lyall wears a bush hat and sits on Just as Hogarth was able to elicit a plastic chair rather than a a sympathetic involvement in sitters from his own middle-class bench in a country estate. Lyall's pot-belly might be that of Hobackground, so Riley was immedigarth's Captain Coram - here, ately accepted.

however, an ironic reflection of the perceived Aboriginal lifestyle of beer and indolence. There are single portraits here, too, of matriarchs and "warriors" like Maude Cutmore, a middle-aged woman seated in her best dress next to her symbol of office: her best handbag. In another photograph a middle-aged man grins from his wheelchair while in a third, the sitter, *Ken*, is pictured cross-legged, gazing from behind prized mirror sunglasses, for all the world as much a "thinking man" as Joseph Wright's *Brooke Boothby*. Elsewhere, a mother and her six children bear an outward resemblance to a family portrait by Lawrence or Romney coupled

with an essentially Hogarthian, mewling, puking realism. Such a resemblance only serves to emphasise the reality: that these children are not Hogarth's affluent, rosy-cheeked *Graham Children* at play, but six people doomed to a miserable existence in the enforced squalor and redundancy of life as outcasts.

Family ties are of paramount importance to the Aborigine, as they were to eighteenth century sitters. Two siblings embrace with the same unrestrained, innocent enjoyment of physical contact as that enjoyed by the sitters in a child portrait by Raeburn or Romney. Brother, sister and mother link arms. Ruthy, the photographer's aunt, holds before her a photograph of her own aunt.

While such works are open to the criticism that they are contrived, they nevertheless seem truer to life than the shocking, photo-journalistic shots by other, white, photographers, such as Nicholas Adler. His portraits, emphasising disease disablity, become less a catalogue of injustice than a cabinet of curiosities, and grouped together in his recent book Portraits from an Uninhabited Land, appear tastelessly sensational. Riley's subjects, unlike Adler's, retain the honest realism of the portrait in the tradition of Goya, Courbet and Degas. Portraiture is a social phenomenon whose roots lie in the need to acknowledge wealth and merit. Hogarth understood this. And, in perpetuating this tradition of formal portraiture for the poor and wretched, so, too, does Riley. □ Rebecca Hossack, 35 Windmill St,

□ Rebecca Hossack, 35 Windmill St, W1 (071-409 3599) 6-31 Aug. Mon-

Sat, 10am-6pm.

☐ Portraits from an Uninhabited Land is published by Bantam